

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Pat nt and Trademark Offic

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. R 71180-024827 MATHIES 11/25/98 09/199,655 **EXAMINER** IM22/0109 BEX,P PILLSBURY MADISON & SUTRO 725 SOUTH FIGUEROA STREET SUITE 1200 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER LOS ANGELES CA 90017-5443 1743 DATE MAILED: 01/09/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks

Application No.

09/199,655

Patricia Kathryn Bex

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Group Art Unit

1743

Mathies et al.

Advisory Action

THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)] 4 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection. Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above. Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or within any period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a). Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed on Jan 4, 2001 has been considered with the following effect, but is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance: The proposed amendment(s): will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief. Will not be entered because: K) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below). they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below). they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. The limitations of new claims 37-39 are previously unclaimed features requiring further consideration and/or search. Additionally, the limitations of claims 1 and 37-39 are not disclosed within the specification where alleged, raising the question of new matter. Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): would be allowable if submitted in a Newly proposed or amended claims separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. K For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claims allowed: NONE Claims objected to: NONE Claims rejected: 1-3, 6, 9-14, and 31-35 ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on ☐ ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the Examiner. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). Other Claim 1 does not disclose a system which incorporates "two capillaries per container" since "at least one capillary" is required. Wilson et al (WO 97/341138) clearly disclose the use of a system wherein bi-directional flow is possible between two containers via at least one capillary. Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700